





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/891,301	07/10/1997	KENNETH HARRENSTIEN	224/183	5720	
22249	7590 07/16/2002				
LYON & LYON LLP			EXAMINER		
633 WEST FIFTH STREET SUITE 4700			TRAN, PABLO N		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2684		

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	H
Advisory Action	08/891,301	HARRENSTIEN ET AL.	Ċ
₹ 1	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Pablo N Tran	2684	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address -	_
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	· ·	,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	idered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)⊡ will be entered and an ow or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-12 and 16-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 10. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Afte	
	SUPE	DANIEL HUNTER ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's argument are not persuasive. The Applicant stated that "Verkler neither teaches nor suggests transmitting a message from a transceiver associated with the server directly to a transceiver associated with the client station in response to the server having information for the client station without the client station initiating to establish a connection to the server". See examiner explanation on Office Action (no. 20).